8% a Month
on Autopilot

How Busy Professionals Protect Their Money and Generate Steady Monthly Returns Without the Complexity

$50,000 → $8,000 / month

Two Strategies · Two Accounts · One Lifetime License

Mark Stroupe

Founder, EightPercent AI | eightpercent.ai

Important Notice

This book is provided for informational and educational purposes only. Nothing in these pages constitutes personalized financial, investment, legal, or tax advice. All investing involves risk, including the possible loss of principal. Past results — whether hypothetical or actual — do not guarantee future performance.

The examples in this book are illustrative. Your individual results will vary based on your specific circumstances, market conditions, and many other factors outside anyone's control.

Before making any investment decision, please consult a qualified financial advisor, attorney, and tax professional who can evaluate your personal situation.

Any references to monthly return targets are goals, not guarantees.

About the Author

Mark Stroupe has spent more than 25 years at the intersection of financial markets and technology, with a career focused on one consistent mission: making sophisticated trading tools available to everyday investors.

He began trading U.S. Treasury Bonds and Foreign Currencies in 1998, developing a deep understanding of market structure, risk management, and the discipline required to generate consistent returns across different market environments. Over the following decade, he moved from being a trader to being a builder — designing and implementing automated trading systems specifically engineered for retail investors who wanted access to institutional-quality approaches without the complexity or barriers that typically come with them.

In 2009, he founded an automated trading technology company with a straightforward mandate: bring Wall Street to Main Street. That meant developing proprietary AI-driven software capable of trading S&P 500 futures contracts — one of the most liquid, regulated, and transparent markets in the world — in a way that was accessible, rules-based, and designed to protect capital first.

The result of years of research, development, and live trading refinement is the approach described in this book: a dual-strategy system that targets consistent monthly returns on ES futures, with defined risk parameters, automated execution, and full transparency — all while keeping the investor's money in their own brokerage account at all times.

Mark is also the founder of EightPercent AI, the platform through which this approach is offered to qualified investors. He lives and works in Charleston, South Carolina.

Contents

Introduction

Let's Be Honest About Where You Are

You didn't get where you are by being careless with money.

You spent years — maybe decades — building a career that most people only dream about. You put in the long hours. You made the tough calls. You earned your income the hard way, and you deserve to enjoy it.

But here's the thing that nobody tells you when you get to this level: making money and keeping money are two completely different skills.

Your colleagues are the same way. Brilliant in their field. Lousy investors — not because they're not smart, but because the world of investing is full of noise, hype, confusing jargon, and people who get paid whether you win or lose.

You've probably felt all of this already. You've watched a broker confidently talk you into something that went sideways. You've held individual stocks that kept dropping while your financial advisor smiled and said "just be patient." You've looked at your bank statement and felt slightly nauseated that all that cash is earning practically nothing while inflation quietly eats away at it.

And if you've ever looked into doing something about it yourself — anything more sophisticated than a savings account — you've probably been confronted with a wall of charts, indicators, spreadsheets, and language that feels like it was deliberately designed to confuse you.

That's exactly why I wrote this book.

This Book Is Different

I'm not going to teach you how to trade. I'm not going to fill these pages with charts, candlestick patterns, or market theories. I'm not going to use phrases like "moving average convergence divergence" and expect you to nod along.

You don't need any of that.

What I want to do is much simpler: I want to walk you through the core ideas that separate people who quietly generate consistent monthly returns from the people who chase excitement and get burned.

These ideas aren't complicated. They're actually kind of obvious once you see them clearly. But almost nobody presents them this way — in plain language, without trying to impress you or sell you on some magic formula.

The goal of this book is to get you thinking clearly about money: what it means to protect it, what real consistent growth looks like, and why the noisy, complicated approach almost always fails people like you.

By the end, you'll understand why 8% a month — consistent, protected, hands-off — is worth more to you than any "big win" promise you'll ever hear. And you'll be in a much better position to evaluate whatever comes next, whether that's a tool, a service, or simply a new mindset.

Who This Book Is For

I wrote this primarily for people who have built significant income and want it working harder than it currently is.

The physician who is tired of watching his investment account go sideways while his practice keeps him too busy to do anything about it. The attorney who knows she's smart enough to figure this out but doesn't have the time or patience to learn a whole new field. The dentist who has solid savings sitting in a money market earning almost nothing. The executive who has maxed out his 401(k) and keeps thinking there has to be a better way. The business owner who has capital to deploy and zero desire to become a day trader.

These are people who have already won at their professions. The missing piece isn't income — it's making what they've earned actually work for them.

I also wrote this for people who are building toward that position. The nurse practitioner or physician assistant carrying a full patient load, a mortgage, and a family — earning well, but with limited liquid capital right now. This book is genuinely useful to you too, because understanding the approach before you have the capital to deploy it changes how you save and what you build toward. The opportunity will still be here when you're ready. And knowing exactly where you're headed makes getting there faster.

If you earn good money, value your time, and are tired of feeling like the financial world wasn't designed with you in mind — this is your book.

What This Book Is Built Around

The headline is simple. $50,000. $8,000 a month.

We'll explain exactly how at the end of this book — including how two strategies working on the same $50,000 each independently contribute to that number. But explaining the mechanics before you understand why consistent monthly income matters would be like describing the engine before you've decided you want the car.

Let's start with why.

A Note on How to Read This Book

Each chapter builds on the last, but you can also jump around if something catches your eye.

I've kept the chapters short. I know you don't have time to wade through 500 pages of theory. I've tried to make every section feel like a conversation — like talking to a knowledgeable friend who's willing to cut through the noise and just tell you what matters.

There's no homework. There are no charts to memorize. There's nothing you need to study.

Just read, think, and see if any of this resonates with where you are right now.

Let's get started.

In the next chapter, we're going to look honestly at why most high earners end up disappointed with their investments — and why that's not your fault.

Chapter 1

Why Busy Professionals Can't Get Ahead Financially — And Why That's Not Their Fault

Let me guess. At some point in your life, you trusted someone with your money. A broker at a reputable firm. A financial advisor with a nice office and a reassuring handshake. A friend who swore he had the inside track on a great opportunity.

And it didn't go the way you hoped.

Maybe you lost money. Maybe you barely broke even after years of "just waiting for the market to recover." Maybe you made a little, but you watched someone else make a lot more, and you couldn't shake the feeling that you were playing the wrong game.

You're not alone. And here's what I want you to hear: it's not because you're bad with money. It's because the system you were sold — the one almost every high earner gets funneled into — was never designed with your best interests at the center.

The Broker Problem

Let's start with brokers and traditional financial advisors, because this is where most people's investment disappointment begins.

Here's something most people don't fully appreciate: your broker gets paid whether your account goes up or down. In many models, advisors earn commissions on the products they sell you and management fees on the assets they hold. The incentive isn't always "make you rich." The incentive is "keep you invested, keep you comfortable enough not to move your money, and collect the fees."

I'm not saying every broker is dishonest. Many are genuinely trying to do right by their clients. But the structure of the industry creates a conflict of interest that is almost impossible to fully eliminate.

Think about the last time a financial advisor called you with bad news. Really called you, explained what went wrong, took accountability, and showed you a clear plan to fix it. For most people, that call never comes. Instead, you get "the market is volatile right now, but historically it recovers." Which may be true. But it doesn't help you when you're watching $40,000 evaporate over six months.

Individual Stocks: The Excitement That Costs You

At some point, most high earners try picking individual stocks. It's tempting. You read about Tesla, or Amazon in its early days, or some biotech that went up 400%. And you think: I'm smart. I can research this. I can find the next one.

Sometimes it works. Often it doesn't.

The problem with individual stocks isn't that people are dumb for trying. It's that the game is rigged against the casual investor in ways that are hard to see. Institutional funds have analysts, algorithms, insider connections, and information advantages that no individual investor — no matter how smart — can match.

And when individual stocks go wrong, they can go very wrong. A company that looked rock-solid can lose 60, 70, even 80 percent of its value in a matter of months. If you're concentrated in a few positions, that kind of loss can set you back years.

The emotional toll is just as bad. Watching a stock you believed in crater — seeing your confidence eroded along with your capital — does something to people. It makes them gun-shy. Or worse, it makes them double down, trying to "average down" on a stock that just keeps falling.

This is how smart, successful professionals lose serious money in the stock market.

> *"Rule No. 1: Never lose money. Rule No. 2: Never forget Rule No. 1." — Warren Buffett*

The Market Just Feels Risky

Even for people who aren't burned by individual stocks, the market itself can feel like a casino. And honestly, that feeling isn't entirely wrong.

In recent years, markets have been whipsawed by events that nobody predicted: global pandemics, sudden interest rate swings, banking crises, geopolitical shocks. Every time you think things are settling down, something new comes along to shake confidence again.

For a busy professional who doesn't have time to monitor things daily, this unpredictability is exhausting. You can't keep your hand on the pulse of a portfolio when you're performing surgery, or in depositions, or running a business. The market moves while you're busy living your life.

So what do most people do? They either check their accounts obsessively and feel anxious all day. Or they ignore it completely and hope for the best. Neither one is a strategy.

Cash in the Bank: Safe but Suffocating

Here's a scenario that plays out at every income level.

Maybe you have $10,000 in savings. Maybe $50,000. Maybe more. It's sitting in a checking or savings account, earning almost nothing. You know it's not working hard. You feel vaguely uncomfortable about that. But at least it's not going anywhere.

"At least it's safe," you tell yourself.

And in one narrow sense, that's true. The number in your bank account isn't going to fluctuate. But here's what is fluctuating: the purchasing power of that money.

Inflation at even 3 or 4 percent per year quietly erodes the real value of money sitting still. Over five years, savings that "stayed safe" in a bank account have lost a meaningful chunk of their purchasing power in real terms. The number looks the same. The wealth isn't.

There's a psychological trick that plays on all of us: we feel safer when we can't see the loss. But a slow, invisible loss is still a loss. It just doesn't show up on a monthly statement.

The goal of real financial security isn't just protection from big sudden drops — it's protection from the slow, invisible drain that cash sitting still creates.

Complicated Systems: The False Promise of Automation

At some point, many high earners try to solve this problem with technology. They read about algorithmic trading, automated systems, AI-powered investing tools. They think: if I can just find the right system, it will do the work for me.

Sometimes they find something that looks promising. But when they dig in, it's overwhelming. There are parameters to set, risk levels to configure, strategies to understand. There are forums full of people arguing about optimization approaches. There are weekly updates and constant adjustments to make.

This isn't what "automated" should mean.

A truly automated, hands-off solution should require almost nothing from you after the initial setup. You shouldn't have to become an expert in something new just to use it. You shouldn't have to monitor it every day.

The promise of "set it and forget it" is appealing for good reason: it matches reality for busy people. But too many systems promise that and deliver something very different.

You Were Set Up to Be Frustrated

When you look at all of these traps together — misaligned broker incentives, volatile individual stocks, the illusion of cash safety, and overly complex automated systems — you start to see a pattern.

The financial industry, taken as a whole, is not very well designed for successful people who want simple, consistent, protected growth. It's designed for volume, for fees, for excitement, and for keeping people engaged whether or not that engagement serves them.

Your frustration isn't a personality flaw. It's the rational response to a system that wasn't built for you.

The good news is that once you understand why things went wrong, you can start to think clearly about what would actually work. Not a perfect system — those don't exist. But a better one. A simpler one. One that puts capital protection first and generates consistent returns second.

That's what the rest of this book is about.

> *In the next chapter, we're going to look at the real power of consistent monthly returns — and why steady and boring beats exciting and unpredictable every single time.*

Chapter 2

The Real Power of Consistent Monthly Returns

Most people think about investing in terms of big wins.

They want to find the stock that doubles. They want to catch a market surge. They want to be the person who bought Bitcoin early and cashed out at the top. There's a reason financial media is built around these stories — they're exciting. They sell advertising.

But here's something I've learned watching successful investors over the years: the ones who actually build lasting wealth aren't usually the ones chasing big wins. They're the ones who figured out how to win consistently — quietly, methodically, month after month.

And once you understand the mathematics behind consistent returns, you'll see why this matters so much.

The Math That Changes Everything

You've probably heard about compound interest. Einstein allegedly called it the eighth wonder of the world. Whether he actually said that doesn't matter — the math behind it really is remarkable.

Most people think about compounding in the context of annual returns — a savings account growing slowly over decades. But what happens when you apply consistent monthly income to that same idea?

Let's keep it simple. You have $50,000 generating $8,000 a month in consistent income. Month one: $8,000 arrives. Month two: another $8,000. Month three: another $8,000. That money is accumulating in your account while your $50,000 keeps working.

Now here's where it gets interesting. After roughly six months, you've accumulated another $50,000 from those returns alone — without adding a single dollar of your own money. You now have the option to put that second $50,000 to work the same way. Suddenly you have two income streams, each generating $8,000 a month, for a combined $16,000 monthly — funded entirely by what the first $50,000 produced.

That's real compounding. Not the slow drift of a savings account. A deliberate, step-by-step stacking of income streams, each one purchased by the returns from the one before it.

Now, let's be clear: these are targets, not guarantees. Some months will come in higher. Some lower. The goal is the consistent average over time — and we'll talk about what makes that consistency possible in Chapter 4.

Steady vs. Spectacular

Here's a comparison that might surprise you.

Investor A chases excitement. One month she's up 30%. The next month she's down 20%. The month after that, up 25%. Then down 15%.

Investor B is boring. He targets a consistent monthly return — and mostly hits it within a couple of points, up or down.

After twelve months, who's ahead?

Almost certainly Investor B.

Why? Because of how losses work. When you lose 20%, you don't just need to make 20% back to break even — you need to make 25%. When you lose 30%, you need to make 43% back just to recover. Big swings in both directions — what mathematicians call high volatility — actually destroy wealth over time, even if the average looks okay on paper.

Consistent, steady returns avoid this math problem entirely. Every month you don't lose big is a month your capital base stays intact and your income keeps arriving.

> *"Rule No. 1: Never lose money. Rule No. 2: Never forget Rule No. 1." — Warren Buffett*

What $8,000 a Month Means for Your Life

Let's get practical. Because the point of all of this isn't just to have impressive numbers on paper — it's to change what your daily life looks like.

Think about what consistent monthly returns would mean for you personally.

Maybe it means you stop worrying about whether your portfolio is keeping pace. You know what's coming in each month, roughly, and you can plan around it.

Maybe it means a spring break trip with the kids that you don't have to calculate three times before booking. Maybe it means the flight to see someone you care about without that moment of hesitation at checkout. Maybe it means you stop lying awake doing the math on whether this month is going to work.

Maybe it means you have a growing pool of capital that eventually replaces a significant portion of your earned income. Your money starts working so hard that you don't have to work quite as hard yourself — or at least, that the work you choose to do is driven by purpose rather than necessity.

Peace of mind has a real value. Most investment discussions don't factor this in, but for anyone juggling a career and kids and a mortgage and a life, it might be the most important value of all.

A strategy that returns 12% annually but keeps you anxious every day isn't actually better than a strategy targeting consistent monthly income that you never have to think about. The latter gives you your life back.

The Lifestyle Math

Let's do a little thought experiment tailored to where you probably are right now.

You earn a good living — let's say $250,000 a year. That's roughly $20,800 a month before taxes. After federal and state taxes, you might be taking home around $12,000 to $14,000 a month.

Or maybe your income is more like $100,000 to $130,000 — a nurse practitioner, a physical therapist, a healthcare professional carrying real responsibility and a real workload. After taxes and a mortgage and childcare and everything else that comes with building a life, there isn't a lot left over. You know this number intimately.

Either way, the math works the same. $50,000 generating $8,000 a month in consistent income — automatically, without a single additional hour of your time.

For the high earner, $8,000 a month is meaningful supplemental income on top of an already strong salary. For the person building from a tighter position, $8,000 a month is transformational. It's the mortgage payment covered with room to spare. It's the kids' activities without the mental calculation. It's the flight to see the person you love without checking your balance first.

Now, $50,000 is a real number. Not everyone has it sitting available right now — and that's okay. Understanding the approach matters even before you have the full amount, because knowing where you're building toward changes how you save and what you prioritize. The math is patient. The path is clear.

Add a second $50,000 — funded from savings or from the returns of the first — and the monthly income target doubles to $16,000. At that level you're matching or exceeding most professionals' entire take-home pay, generated quietly in the background.

In the next chapter, we're going to talk about the foundation that makes all of this possible: protecting your capital. Because consistent returns don't mean anything if you're taking on so much risk that a bad month wipes out everything you've built.

Chapter 3

Protecting Your Money Comes First

If you only take one idea from this entire book, I want it to be this one: protecting your capital is not a secondary goal. It's the primary goal. Everything else — returns, growth, income — only works if capital protection comes first.

This sounds obvious. Of course you don't want to lose money. Nobody does.

But the way most people invest — including the way most professional advisors manage money — doesn't actually put capital protection first. It puts growth first, excitement first, or fee generation first. Protection becomes an afterthought, something addressed only after a big loss makes it unavoidable.

Let's change that.

What Capital Protection Actually Means

Capital protection doesn't mean putting your money in a savings account and never risking anything. That's not protection — that's a slow surrender to inflation, as we talked about in Chapter 1.

Real capital protection means operating within a framework where potential losses are defined, limited, and managed before you enter any trade or position. It means knowing your maximum possible downside before you commit any money. It means never exposing yourself to an "uncontrolled loss" scenario.

Think of it the way a surgeon thinks about risk before an operation. Before the first incision, the team has already planned for every complication they can anticipate. They know the protocols if something goes wrong. The patient is never exposed to an open-ended situation where the outcomes are unlimited and unknown. The risk is defined, the response is pre-planned, and the team executes within that framework.

Disciplined investing works the same way. You define the risk before committing any capital. You know exactly what the maximum possible loss is. You never let a single bad position become an emergency — because the limits were already in place before the position was opened.

The Asymmetry of Losses

Here's a mathematical truth that most people have heard but few have really internalized: losses are more damaging than gains of the same size.

If you start with $100,000 and lose 10%, you have $90,000.

To get back to $100,000, you don't need to make 10%. You need to make 11.1%.

If you lose 20%, you need to make 25% back.

If you lose 50%, you need to make 100% back — you need to double your money just to break even.

This is why capital protection matters so much mathematically. It's not just about avoiding the bad feeling of losing. It's about preserving the base from which your compounding works. Every dollar you lose is a dollar that can no longer compound. Every painful drawdown sets back your timeline by more than you think.

The discipline to cap your losses — to say "I will never lose more than X on any position, and never more than Y in a given month" — is one of the most valuable things any investor can implement.

> *Every dollar you don't lose is a dollar that keeps compounding. Protection isn't passive — it's the most active thing you can do for your wealth.*

What a Difficult Period Actually Looks Like

Most books that talk about capital protection stay vague on the hard question: what does a bad period actually cost you in real dollars? They talk about percentages and hypotheticals but never give you a concrete picture.

Here's the honest answer: it depends entirely on how the strategy is built. A strategy with no defined risk limits can lose everything in a single bad period. A strategy with hard stop-losses and position size caps has a knowable worst case — a number you can look at before you commit any money and decide whether it's acceptable to you.

The right approach tells you the maximum drawdown upfront. Not the average. The worst case. So you can answer "what's the most I could lose in a bad month?" before you're in the middle of one.

When you know that number — and can live with it — everything changes. You're not trading in fear. You're not making panicked decisions at 2am because you can't afford to lose more. The worst case is already factored in. Most bad investing decisions come from investors who never defined their risk in advance and find themselves staring at a loss with no plan and no floor. Defined risk eliminates that scenario entirely.

Think of it the way a good financial advisor thinks about portfolio construction. They don't put all your money in aggressive growth stocks, because the swings are too wild. They don't put it all in conservative bonds either, because the returns are too low. They blend the two — capturing more upside than bonds alone while absorbing less risk than growth stocks alone. The combined portfolio behaves better than either component would on its own.

The same logic applies to running two trading strategies side by side — one conservative, one aggressive. The conservative approach generates steady, reliable returns with smaller wins and tightly controlled losses. The aggressive approach reaches for larger returns, accepting more volatility in the process. Together, they produce a blended result that is more powerful than the conservative strategy alone and better protected than the aggressive strategy alone.

And here's the counterintuitive part: the combined maximum drawdown can actually be lower than the aggressive strategy's drawdown by itself. Because the two strategies don't have their worst periods at the same time, the conservative account's steady performance cushions the combined result during the aggressive account's difficult stretches. You end up with a blended risk profile that is genuinely better than running either strategy in isolation.

Knowing your downside in advance isn't pessimistic. It's the foundation of confidence. When you know the worst case and can live with it, you stay calm — and calm investors make better decisions than anxious ones.

Your Money Stays Yours

One thing I want to emphasize, because it comes up a lot with busy professionals: when I talk about capital protection, I'm talking about an approach where your money stays in your account. Under your name. Accessible to you.

This is different from handing money to a hedge fund where you can't touch it for years. This is different from locking money into a product where you face penalties for early withdrawal.

The best approaches to consistent monthly returns are ones where your capital stays in your own brokerage account, in your name, with full transparency into what's happening and full ability to withdraw or adjust at any time.

This matters enormously. It means you're never dependent on someone else's solvency. It means you always know exactly where your money is. And it means the protection of your capital is built into the structure — not promised by someone you have to trust blindly.

Protection as Foundation, Not Constraint

I want to leave this chapter with an important reframe.

Most people think of capital protection as a constraint — something that limits how much you can make. If you're being "safe," you're being conservative, and conservative means lower returns.

That's backwards.

Capital protection is the foundation that makes higher returns possible over time. Because you're not losing big, your compounding never gets set back dramatically. Because you're not taking uncontrolled risks, you can stay in the game through bad periods and benefit from good ones. Because your downside is defined, you can make clear-headed decisions instead of emotional ones.

The investors who protect capital well don't make less over time. They make more — because they're never having to dig out of a deep hole.

Protection isn't cautious. Protection is the smart play.

Now that we've established why protecting capital is the bedrock of everything, let's look at what actually drives reliable growth — the principles behind consistent monthly returns that work.

Chapter 4

What Actually Drives Reliable Growth

Let's talk about what makes a strategy actually produce consistent returns over time.

I'm not going to get technical here. We're not going to talk about chart patterns or indicators or anything that requires a finance degree to understand. But I do want to give you a clear mental model for what separates strategies that work from strategies that don't.

Because once you have that model, you can evaluate any approach you encounter — and you'll quickly see through the ones that promise more than they can deliver.

The Four Pillars of Reliable Growth

In my experience, every consistently profitable strategy rests on four pillars. Miss any one of them, and the strategy eventually breaks down.

Pillar 1: Rules, Not Intuition

The first pillar is having a clear set of rules that govern every decision — and following those rules regardless of what your gut tells you.

This sounds simple. It isn't.

Human beings are emotional creatures. We feel confident when things are going well and anxious when they're going poorly. We convince ourselves that this time is different, or that we have a special feel for what's about to happen. We break rules because they feel too restrictive in the moment.

Every time a rule gets broken, you've introduced a variable you can't control. One good gut call doesn't justify trusting your gut — because your gut will eventually cost you. And when it does, the loss will be bigger than you expected, because the rule that would have limited the loss wasn't followed.

Reliable growth comes from rules that are clear, tested, and followed consistently. Not sometimes. Not when it's convenient. Always.

This is one reason why mechanical or automated approaches often outperform human judgment over time. Not because the algorithm is smarter — it's not. But because the algorithm never breaks the rules. It never gets scared. It never gets greedy. It follows the process, every single time.

Pillar 2: Edge, Tested and Proven

The second pillar is having an actual edge — a reason to believe your approach produces positive results over time — and having evidence to back that up.

An edge isn't a hunch. It isn't a hope. It isn't a clever-sounding theory.

An edge is a documented, tested advantage that shows up consistently in historical data and continues to appear in live trading. It might be a market behavior that tends to repeat under certain conditions. It might be a timing pattern that has statistical significance. Whatever it is, it has to be real and testable, not assumed.

Why does this matter? Because many strategies sound reasonable in theory but fail in practice. People build systems based on what "makes sense" to them, without ever rigorously testing whether those ideas actually produce results in the real world.

Any strategy worth trusting should be able to show you historical results and, more importantly, live results. Not cherry-picked examples. Comprehensive, auditable data.

If someone can't show you clear evidence of their edge, that's a major red flag. Real edges produce real results. Real results can be shown.

Pillar 3: Risk Management Baked In

We talked about capital protection in the last chapter, but it bears repeating here as a pillar of reliable growth — because it's that important.

A strategy with great rules and a proven edge will still fail if it doesn't have robust risk management built into every decision. Risk management shouldn't be an afterthought. It shouldn't be something you add later. It should be baked into the core of how the strategy operates.

This means defined stop-losses. This means position size limits. This means daily and monthly loss limits. This means knowing before every position is opened exactly how much that position can cost if it goes wrong — and making sure that maximum possible cost is acceptable in the context of the whole account.

The best strategies I've seen treat every trade as if it might be a loser. Not because they expect to lose, but because the rules for what happens when they do lose are already in place. There's no scrambling. There's no hoping. There's just the rule, and the rule is followed.

> *The best investors don't predict the future. They build systems that perform well even when the future surprises them.*

Pillar 4: Consistency Over Time

The fourth pillar is consistency — not just in returns, but in the approach itself.

A strategy that works brilliantly for three months and then stops working wasn't a real strategy — it was a lucky run in favorable conditions. Real strategies perform through different market environments. They have good months and average months, but they don't collapse when conditions change.

This is why the track record matters so much. Not just the length of it — you want to see performance through different types of market conditions. A strategy that only worked during a particular kind of market isn't necessarily reliable in other environments.

Consistency also means the same rules are applied consistently. Not "we adjusted our approach this month." Not "we had a special situation." The same rules, applied the same way, producing results that vary somewhat month to month but trend reliably in the right direction over time.

Why 8% Monthly Is a Credible Target

If you've been reading carefully, you've probably had a version of this thought: eight percent a month sounds remarkable. That's nearly 100% annualized. Why isn't everyone doing this?

It's a fair question, and it deserves a straight answer rather than a deflection.

The strategies described in this book trade ES futures — contracts tied to the S&P 500 index, the same index your 401(k) and mutual funds are likely benchmarked against. ES futures are one of the most liquid, regulated, and transparent markets in the world. They trade nearly 24 hours a day, they're traded on a regulated exchange, and they're used by both large institutions and sophisticated individual traders.

What makes a consistent monthly return target credible in this market is not prediction — it's structure. The strategy doesn't try to guess where the market is going. It targets small, defined gains on each trade using a rules-based approach, with hard stops that limit what any single losing trade can cost. The goal each month isn't a home run — it's a series of consistent singles that add up to the target.

Because each trade uses futures contracts, a relatively small account can participate in meaningful market movements without needing to risk the entire account on any single position. That leverage, when used within strict risk parameters and capped contract limits, is what allows a disciplined strategy to target returns that would be difficult to achieve in a traditional stock or bond portfolio.

That said: this is a target, not a guarantee. The strategy has months that exceed it and months that fall short. The goal is the consistent monthly average over time, not perfection in any given month.

How the Strategy Stays Current

One thing that distinguishes a serious, professional strategy from a static set-and-forget system is ongoing optimization. Markets change. Volatility shifts. The conditions that produced the best entry point last week may not be the same conditions present today.

The strategies described in this book are optimized every day after the market closes. Each evening, the system analyzes that day's market behavior and recalibrates the entry timing and parameters for the following session — determining the most favorable entry point and trade structure based on current market conditions rather than assumptions from weeks or months ago.

This is important for two reasons. First, it keeps the strategy responsive to the market as it actually is right now, rather than as it was when the strategy was originally built. Second, it means you don't have to do anything. The optimization happens automatically, every night, while you're having dinner or sleeping. You wake up and the strategy is already calibrated for the day ahead.

This daily recalibration is one of the reasons the live track record has held up through different market environments — including periods of elevated volatility, sudden market swings, and conditions that caused many static approaches to break down. Adaptability within a consistent rules-based framework is exactly what keeps results stable over time.

The Role of Track Record

Track record is everything — but not all track records are created equal.

There's a big difference between a hypothetical back-test (what the strategy would have done if applied to historical data) and a live track record (what it actually did with real money). Back-tests can be designed — consciously or unconsciously — to look better than reality. Live results can't be faked in the same way.

The gold standard is a live track record, with real accounts, over a meaningful period of time, through different market conditions. Even a handful of months of consistent live results tells you far more than years of hypothetical back-testing. Back-tests can be engineered to look good. Real money in real accounts cannot. When you can see verified live results — trades that actually happened, returns that were actually earned — that's the evidence that matters most.

When you evaluate any strategy or service, ask for the live results. Ask for the audit trail. Ask how many clients have experienced those results. The answers to these questions will tell you a lot about whether the approach is real or just a story.

Putting It Together

Rules. Edge. Risk management. Consistency.

These four pillars aren't exotic. They're not complicated. But they're also not easy to maintain, which is why most approaches fail.

When you find a strategy — or a service — that genuinely has all four of these in place, that's something worth paying attention to. Not because it guarantees results, but because the foundation is right. And with the right foundation, consistent monthly returns become possible in a way they simply aren't without it.

In the next chapter, we're going to look at why most traditional approaches — the complicated ones you've probably already run into — don't have these pillars in place. And why that complexity, paradoxically, makes results worse rather than better.

Chapter 5

Why Most Approaches Feel Too Complicated

If you've spent any time looking into active investing or trading-based approaches, you've probably felt it: that creeping sense of being in over your head. The jargon. The charts. The endless debate about which indicator is better than which other indicator.

And then the guilt. Because you're smart. You're successful. You've mastered complex things in your career. If other people can figure this out, why can't you?

Here's the thing: it's not that you can't figure it out. It's that figuring it out requires an enormous investment of time and attention that you simply don't have. And there's a deeper problem: most of that complexity doesn't actually make things more effective. It makes them more confusing — and confusion is the enemy of consistent results.

The Complexity Trap

There's a pervasive belief in the financial world that more sophisticated equals more effective. That the strategy with more inputs, more indicators, more moving parts must be smarter than a simpler one.

This belief is wrong, and it costs people a lot of money.

In practice, simpler strategies tend to outperform complex ones over time. Why? Because simple strategies are easier to follow consistently. They have fewer failure points. They're easier to test properly. And they're less prone to what statisticians call "overfitting" — where a strategy is optimized to look great on historical data but falls apart when applied to real markets.

Complex strategies, on the other hand, have many moving parts that can each fail. They require constant calibration. They often require significant expertise just to monitor, let alone adjust. And when they break down, they tend to break down in ways that are hard to diagnose and fix quickly.

The hedge fund world is full of examples of brilliant, sophisticated strategies that looked amazing in theory, attracted billions of dollars, and then imploded spectacularly. The complexity didn't protect them — it made them fragile.

The Time Problem

But there's an even more immediate problem for someone in your position: time.

Most active investing approaches — the kind that involve reading charts, monitoring positions, adjusting settings, following market news — require hours every day. Sometimes many hours. There are people who make this their full-time job. They sit in front of screens for eight, ten, twelve hours watching markets move.

You are not that person. And you shouldn't have to be.

You went to school for years to build expertise in your field. You've invested deeply in becoming excellent at what you do. The idea that you should now invest another years worth of effort just to learn active investing — all the complicated indicators, the constant monitoring, the never-ending research into market conditions — is unreasonable.

And here's the cruel irony: even if you did invest all that time, you'd still be competing against full-time professionals with teams of analysts and supercomputers behind them. The odds don't improve with effort alone.

> *The goal isn't to become a full-time investor. It's to have a full-time investor working for you — while you live your life.*

What Happens When You Don't Have Time

When busy people try to engage with complex strategies, a predictable pattern emerges.

They start with enthusiasm. They set up the system, learn the basics, tell themselves they're going to monitor it carefully.

Then life happens. A big case. A demanding patient schedule. A business crisis. Travel. Family. The monitoring slips.

When they come back to it, the strategy has drifted. Maybe it's taken losses that the monitoring would have caught. Maybe it's in positions that no longer make sense given current conditions. Maybe the whole thing needs to be reset, but they don't have time to figure out how.

So they either abandon the strategy entirely (a loss of both money and time) or they muddle through, making half-informed decisions under pressure. Neither outcome is what they were hoping for.

This isn't a failure of intelligence or willpower. It's a structural mismatch: a strategy that requires constant active management being run by someone whose life doesn't allow for constant active management. The strategy doesn't fit the life. And when strategy and lifestyle conflict, the strategy loses.

The Indicator Rabbit Hole

Let me paint a picture that might feel familiar.

You decide to get serious about investing. You open a brokerage account and start researching. You find a YouTube channel that explains technical analysis. You learn about moving averages. Then someone says you need to understand RSI. Then MACD. Then Bollinger Bands. Then Fibonacci retracement levels. Then volume analysis. Then market structure. Then support and resistance. Then candlestick patterns.

Each layer seems to add nuance and depth. Each layer promises to make your analysis more accurate, your decisions better.

But what actually happens? You end up with six different signals, all pointing in different directions, and you have no idea which one to trust. You second-guess every decision. You feel like you don't know enough yet — maybe one more course, one more book, one more indicator will give you the certainty you're looking for.

This rabbit hole is one of the most effective traps in the entire financial world, because it feels productive. You're learning. You're reading. You're thinking. But you're not investing effectively — you're suffering from analysis paralysis, dressed up as education.

The truth? Most professional traders who produce consistent results have stripped their approach down to a very small number of reliable signals that they understand deeply. They didn't add complexity over time — they subtracted it.

The Solution Isn't More Complexity — It's Less

Here's the conclusion I want you to take from this chapter: complexity is not sophistication. The goal isn't a more complex approach — it's a simpler one that works consistently.

The right approach for a busy professional isn't one that requires you to become a market expert. It's one that does the work for you, following clear rules, protecting capital, and generating consistent returns — without requiring your constant attention.

Simplicity, on the other hand, is actually a form of sophistication. It means you've identified what matters and eliminated what doesn't. That's a harder thing to achieve than piling on more indicators. And it produces better results.

By the time we reach the conclusion of this book, you'll see a specific example of exactly what that simplicity looks like — an approach that does all of the work described in the previous chapters without asking anything complicated of you.

But first, there's one more piece to put in place.

The best strategy in the world won't work if your own psychology is working against it. Let's talk about the mindset that lets you stay the course — and why that matters more than any indicator or market signal you'll ever find.

Chapter 6

The Mindset That Leads to Steady Results

You've heard it before: investing is 90% psychology and 10% strategy. Like most clichés, it's an exaggeration. But it's pointing at something real.

The uncomfortable truth is that most investment failures aren't caused by bad strategies. They're caused by people abandoning good strategies at exactly the wrong moment, making emotionally driven decisions that override the logic they started with.

High earners are not immune to this. In fact, the mental habits that make you successful in your career — drive, decisiveness, high standards, intolerance for poor results — can actively work against you as an investor. This chapter is about understanding where that happens, and what to do about it.

The Two Traps That Catch Smart People

Two behavioral patterns trip up high-achieving investors more than any others.

The first is action bias. Successful professionals are, by definition, action-oriented. You got where you are by making things happen. But in investing, that instinct works against you. When your account dips, action bias makes you want to sell. When something looks hot, it makes you want to jump in. When a strategy goes through a quiet stretch, it makes you want to tinker. And every intervention — every "I need to do something" decision — carries transaction costs, potential tax events, and the near-certain risk of getting the timing wrong.

The second is performance chasing. You read about a strategy that returned 30% last year. Yours returned 18%. You feel like you're leaving money on the table. You switch. The problem: past short-term performance is a notoriously poor predictor of future results. Whatever drove those 30% returns likely won't repeat in the same way. By the time you've switched in, the conditions that created those returns have often already changed.

The antidote to both is the same: make your decisions in advance, set them in rules, and then commit to not overriding them in the heat of the moment. An automated, rules-based approach does exactly this — the decisions are already made. There's nothing to second-guess.

> *Patience is the most underrated investment skill. It costs nothing, requires no expertise, and is available to everyone. Yet most investors never master it.*

Loss Aversion: The Brain's Built-In Problem

Here's a fact about human psychology confirmed by decades of research: we feel the pain of losing about twice as intensely as we feel the pleasure of an equal gain.

Lose $10,000 and you feel terrible. Gain $10,000 and you feel good — but not nearly as good as the loss felt bad.

This asymmetry is called loss aversion, and it leads directly to the most common and expensive investment mistakes:

- Selling winners too early to "lock in" the gain before it disappears
- Holding losers too long because selling feels like admitting defeat
- Abandoning a strategy after one difficult month, missing the recovery that follows
- Taking outsized risks trying to "make back" what you've lost — and making it worse

Every one of these behaviors is driven by emotional discomfort, not rational analysis. And every one degrades performance significantly over time.

Understanding that your brain is wired this way doesn't make the feeling go away. But it gives you the awareness to pause before acting on it. That pause — "am I making this decision from logic or from fear?" — is worth more than any indicator or market signal you'll ever find.

This is one more reason why a strategy built on pre-defined rules is so valuable for busy professionals. When the rules govern the decisions, loss aversion never gets the chance to override them. The system doesn't feel fear. It follows the process.

The Long View: Your Most Powerful Advantage

If there's one mindset shift that separates investors who build real wealth from those who spin their wheels, it's this: think in years, not months.

Most investors think short term because that's what feels real. The gain or loss this month is tangible. The compounding that builds over the next decade feels abstract. But the math is merciless in favor of patience. A strategy producing an average of 6 to 8 percent monthly — with natural variation — over ten years creates extraordinary wealth. A strategy that excites you in the short term but generates inconsistent results leaves you no better off, and often worse.

The professionals who build lasting wealth through consistent strategies all share one trait: they don't react to individual months. They look at the trend. They confirm the strategy is still executing as designed. And then they let it run.

Here's the practical version of this for someone in your position. You're already a high earner. You don't need a lottery win. You don't need to double your money in six months. What you need is a reliable, protected, consistent approach that quietly builds wealth in the background while you focus on the career and life you've already built. That approach rewards patience more than cleverness. And patience, fortunately, is free.

We've covered the principles and the mindset. In the final chapter, let's get practical — and by the end, you'll see exactly how all of this comes together in one specific approach built for someone in your position.

Chapter 7

Making It Simple and Sustainable

Everything we've covered so far — the frustrations, the math, the principles, the mindset — has been building toward one practical question: what does this actually look like in your life?

Not in theory. Not as a concept. As a real setup that runs in the background, generates consistent monthly income, and asks almost nothing of your time once it's in place.

This chapter answers that question. And by the time you reach the conclusion, the specific approach that brings all of this together will make complete sense — because you'll already understand exactly why it's built the way it is.

Start With Clarity on What You Want

Before you do anything, get clear on your actual goals. Not vague goals. Specific ones.

What monthly income target are you aiming for? How much capital are you prepared to deploy toward it? What is your genuine risk tolerance — not the risk tolerance you think sounds good, but the one where you can actually sleep at night if the strategy has a rough month?

These aren't questions you answer once and forget. They're questions you revisit every six to twelve months, because your situation changes. But starting with clarity saves you from the most common trap: choosing a strategy based on its headline return without considering whether you can actually follow it through good months and bad ones.

Be honest with yourself. If you would genuinely panic and exit at the first month with a below-target return, you need to know that. Not because it makes you a bad investor — it makes you human. But it means your strategy needs to be calibrated for your actual psychology, not your ideal one.

Keep the Capital Allocation Simple

Think of your total investable assets as a pie. Not everything should be in a single, active monthly-return strategy. A sensible allocation might look something like this:

- A meaningful portion — perhaps 20 to 40 percent of your total investable assets — in a consistent monthly-return strategy that actively generates income
- A portion in more traditional long-term investments — broad index funds, retirement accounts — that grow steadily over decades with minimal attention
- A liquidity reserve — three to six months of living expenses in cash — that never gets deployed into active strategies regardless of how good the opportunities look

This structure means you're never betting everything on any single approach. The monthly-return portion works to generate income and grow. The long-term portion provides the slow-burning wealth accumulation that retirement planning requires. The liquidity reserve means you never have to sell at the wrong time because you need cash.

How you divide these slices depends on your age, income, family situation, and risk tolerance. But the three-bucket principle — active income, long-term growth, liquid reserve — gives you a simple framework to work within.

Automate Everything You Can

The word "automation" can sound complicated. It isn't. In this context, it simply means setting up systems that run without requiring your constant input.

For the consistent monthly-return strategy, this means using an approach where positions are entered and exited automatically based on pre-defined rules — without you having to make a decision for each trade. The strategy runs. You watch the results.

For the long-term portion, it means automatic contributions and automatic rebalancing if your provider offers it. Set the allocation. Set the contribution amount. Let it run.

For the liquidity reserve, it means keeping it in an account that's easily accessible but separate from your investment accounts — so you're not tempted to deploy it when a great opportunity comes along.

The goal of automation is to remove the daily decisions that eat your time and test your discipline. The more decisions you automate in advance, the less opportunity there is for an emotional moment to derail your strategy.

Establish a Simple Review Rhythm

Automation doesn't mean you never look at your investments. It means you look on a schedule, not on impulse.

For most busy professionals, a monthly review is about right. Once a month — maybe on the first of the month or the last Saturday — you spend thirty minutes reviewing your performance:

- Did the strategy perform within expected parameters this month?
- Is the overall trend moving in the right direction over the last three to six months?
- Are there any technical issues with the platform or service you're using that need attention?
- Does anything about your personal situation — income, expenses, goals — need to be reflected in your allocation?

Thirty minutes, once a month. That's it. Not daily. Not every time the market moves. Once a month, with a structured set of questions.

This rhythm keeps you informed without keeping you anxious. You know when the next review is. Between reviews, you don't need to check anything. That freedom

— the freedom to go about your life without constantly wondering what your money is doing — is enormously valuable.

Choose Transparency Over Complexity

When evaluating any strategy or service for your monthly-return approach, one of the most important things you can look for is transparency.

Transparency means you can see exactly what positions are being taken, when, and at what price. It means the performance data is clear, consistent, and verifiable. It means you understand the general approach, even if you don't manage it yourself. It means there are no hidden fees, no lock-up periods, no situations where you can't access your money when you need it.

Transparency is the opposite of the black-box approach: "just trust us, we have proprietary methods we can't disclose." Black-box approaches are concerning not because sophisticated methods are bad, but because without visibility, you can't evaluate the risk or hold anyone accountable for the results.

Your money stays in your account, under your name, with a broker you've chosen. The strategy operates with full transparency — you can see what's happening, when, and why. Full visibility. Full control. Full ability to step out at any time.

A Tax Advantage Most Investors Don't Know About

Here's something that surprises most people when they first hear it: ES futures — the instrument these strategies trade — come with a built-in tax advantage that stock trading simply doesn't offer.

Under Section 1256 of the Internal Revenue Code, gains from regulated futures contracts like ES futures are automatically taxed using what's called the 60/40 rule. Regardless of how long any individual position was held — even if it was opened and closed the same day — 60% of the net gains are taxed at the long-term capital gains rate and 40% at the short-term rate. Always. Automatically.

Why does that matter? Because long-term capital gains rates are significantly lower than ordinary income rates. For most high earners, the blended effective federal rate on futures gains works out to approximately 26.8% — compared to 37% on short-term stock trading gains. That's a difference of more than 10 percentage points on every dollar of profit.

To put it in concrete terms: on $96,000 in annual profits — what $8,000 a month adds up to — a stock day trader in the top bracket pays roughly $35,500 in federal taxes. A futures trader generating the same profit pays roughly $25,700. That's nearly $10,000 more kept each year, simply from the instrument being traded rather than anything you have to do or elect.

There's an additional benefit worth knowing: if the strategy has a net losing year, those losses can be carried back up to three prior tax years to offset earlier Section 1256 gains — potentially generating a tax refund from taxes already paid. This carryback provision is unavailable to stock traders and represents a meaningful downside cushion from a tax perspective.

Tax reporting is also straightforward. Your broker provides a composite year-end statement showing the 60/40 split already calculated. You report the totals on IRS Form 6781 — a single form, no trade-by-trade detail required.

As always, consult your own tax advisor about how these rules apply to your specific situation. But for a high earner who is already paying significant taxes on investment income, the Section 1256 treatment is a meaningful and often overlooked benefit of futures-based strategies.

A Word About the Entry Point

I want to be straightforward about something before we get to the conclusion, because you deserve honesty rather than a surprise at the end.

The approach described in this book requires two things: a lifetime license to access the strategy, and trading capital to run it. The license is a one-time purchase of $50,000 — it pays for itself in approximately six months of returns at the target monthly income, and then you own it permanently with no further cost. The trading capital is another $50,000 — your money, held in your own brokerage account, never handed to anyone else.

Together, the total commitment going in is $100,000. That's a real number. It's not for everyone right now, and that's okay to acknowledge.

For the physician, the dentist, the senior executive, the successful business owner — $100,000 is a meaningful but manageable deployment. The license pays for itself in roughly six months. After that, the $8,000 monthly target is pure return on your $50,000 trading capital. The math is compelling and the timeline to recovery is short.

For someone building toward this threshold — the healthcare professional with strong income but limited liquid savings — this is a 12 to 18 month savings goal, not a today decision. That's a completely legitimate position. Knowing the destination changes how you build toward it.

It's also worth knowing that financing on the license may be available, which can change the cash-flow picture significantly. If immediate capital access is the only barrier, that's worth a conversation before you decide it's not the right time.

Whatever your situation, the principles in this book apply equally. The right time to act is when the entry point is genuinely comfortable for you — not stretched, not borrowed beyond reason, not at the expense of your emergency reserves. A strategy built on capital protection shouldn't be funded by desperation.

Making It Sustainable: The Human Element

No strategy is sustainable if it makes you anxious every day, if it requires you to become an expert in something you don't enjoy, or if it conflicts with your values or your family's financial security.

The best approach for you is one where you genuinely understand what's happening at a high level. Where you're confident in the approach. Where the monthly check-in feels reassuring rather than alarming. Where you can go on your golf trip or your family vacation without worrying about what's happening in your account.

That's not a fantasy. That's what a well-designed, transparent, consistently performing strategy actually feels like to live with. And once you experience it, you'll wonder why you spent so many years dealing with approaches that never gave you that peace.

That peace of mind — knowing your money is working, protected, and growing — is the real payoff. Not just the monthly returns. The feeling that this part of your life is handled.

You now have the full picture — from understanding why previous approaches let you down, to what actually drives consistent returns, to the mindset and practical setup that makes it all work. Let's bring it together in the conclusion and talk about your next step.

Conclusion

Your Next Easy Step

We've covered a lot of ground together.

We started with honest acknowledgment of where most high earners find themselves: frustrated with brokers who haven't delivered, burned by individual stock positions, watching inflation quietly erode the cash they thought was "safe," and overwhelmed by approaches that promise simplicity but deliver complexity.

Then we looked at the real power of consistent monthly returns — how $8,000 a month from a single $50,000 deployment, compounding over time, can produce the kind of financial results that change your life in ways that exciting, volatile approaches almost never do.

We talked about capital protection. The mindset that leads to steady results. The practical setup that makes it sustainable. And we were honest about the entry point: a $50,000 lifetime license plus $50,000 in trading capital — $100,000 total, with the license paying for itself in roughly six months and then owning it permanently.

We explored what actually drives reliable growth: clear rules followed consistently, a proven edge backed by real data, risk management baked in at every level, and a track record that holds up under honest scrutiny.

We exposed the complexity trap — why more indicators and more moving parts don't make a strategy better, and why the right answer for a busy professional is almost always less complexity, not more.

We dug into the mindset challenges: action bias, performance chasing, loss aversion. Understanding these traps is the first step to not falling into them.

And we finished with the practical picture: how to allocate simply, automate effectively, review on a reasonable schedule, and choose an approach that gives you transparency and peace of mind rather than anxiety and opacity.

The Common Thread

If you look back at everything we've covered, one idea runs through all of it.

The best financial outcome for a busy professional isn't the most exciting one. It's the most consistent one. It's the one that protects what you've built, grows it reliably, requires minimal time and attention from you, and lets you get on with the life you've worked so hard to create.

You don't need to become an expert investor. You need to find an approach — and ideally a partner — that handles the expertise for you. That applies clear rules you can understand at a high level, protects your capital, generates consistent monthly returns, and lets you check in briefly every month and feel reassured rather than anxious.

That approach exists. And it's simpler than you might think.

What This Might Look Like in Practice

You deploy $50,000 total across two separate accounts — a conservative account and a more aggressive one. Here's the key to understanding how the returns work: each account independently targets 8% on the full $50,000 — not 8% on whatever portion of the $50,000 happens to sit in that account. That's what makes the math compelling. Both strategies are calibrated to the full $50,000 slot value, so the combined monthly target across both accounts is 8% + 8% — a combined $8,000 target on a $50,000 total deployment.

Think of it like a blended portfolio: the conservative account is your anchor, generating steady reliable returns with tightly controlled risk; the aggressive account reaches for higher returns, adding performance that the conservative approach alone couldn't achieve. Together they produce that $8,000 combined monthly target — and a risk profile that is genuinely better than running either strategy by itself. Each account runs its own independent, rules-based strategy automatically. Your money stays in your brokerage accounts, in your name, accessible to you at any time.

Every month, you receive a clear performance report covering both accounts. The live client track record — seven months of real accounts at real brokerages — shows equal-weighted monthly returns ranging from approximately 10% to 26%, with every single month positive across all participating accounts. No negative months. No months that required you to hold your breath and hope.

Here's where the numbers get genuinely interesting. Looking at the hypothetical one-year back-test at a 10-contract scale, the conservative account on its own had a maximum drawdown of $13,750. The aggressive account on its own had a maximum drawdown of $19,125. You might expect the combined worst case to be somewhere between those two — or worse. But that's not what the data shows.

The combined maximum drawdown across both accounts was $14,750 — lower than the aggressive account alone, and only marginally higher than the conservative account alone. Two strategies, two income streams, and a combined risk profile that is actually better than running the aggressive strategy by itself. That's the blending effect in action: because the two strategies don't have their worst periods at the same time, the combined result smooths out in a way that neither could achieve independently.

In seven months of live client trading, the worst combined drawdown observed was approximately $9,625 — meaningfully better than even the hypothetical worst case, which is exactly what you want to see when a strategy moves from testing to real money.

You spend about thirty minutes each month reviewing your statements. You confirm everything looks as expected. You go back to your life.

Your capital is being protected. Your returns are coming in. Your time is free.

One of the most efficient ways to achieve this kind of result is through an automated trade-following system — a setup where a proven, disciplined strategy automatically executes its trades directly in your own brokerage accounts. You don't place the trades. You don't monitor positions. The system does it, based on pre-defined rules, and the results show up in your accounts.

And across thirteen months of hypothetical testing, that combined two-account approach produced zero negative months — not just zero negative months for each strategy individually, but zero negative months for the blended result. The conservative and aggressive approaches offset each other's rough patches consistently enough that the combined outcome stayed positive every single month for over a year of testing.

That's the kind of efficient, well-structured approach this whole book has been building toward.

A Simple Next Step, If It Feels Right

I don't want to oversell anything here. I've tried throughout this book to be honest about what's possible, what the risks are, and what a realistic picture of consistent monthly returns actually looks like.

So let me be equally honest about the next step.

If what you've read resonates with you — if the idea of a transparent, rules-based, capital-protected approach targeting $8,000 a month from a $50,000 deployment appeals to you — then the next step is simple.

Schedule a strategy session. It's a 20-minute conversation — not a sales pitch, not a high-pressure close. Just a genuine discussion about your situation, your questions, and whether this is the right fit for where you are right now. You'll talk to someone who can walk you through the track record, explain exactly how the accounts are structured, answer any question you have, and help you decide whether the timing makes sense for you.

To schedule your strategy session, click here to book a Strategy Call. No forms to fill out. No commitment required. Just book a time that works for you and show up with your questions.

Forty-eight slots remain. This isn't manufactured urgency — it's the honest reality of a system built to operate at a specific scale. When the slots are filled, the lifetime license program closes. A 20-minute conversation costs you nothing. The right slot, at the right time, could change the financial trajectory of everything that comes after.

A Final Word

You've spent your career building something real. You've earned the right to have your money working as hard as you have.

You deserve an approach that's clear. That's consistent. That protects what you've built and grows it in a way you can actually see and understand.

You deserve to go on the golf trip without checking your phone.

You deserve to spend Sunday with your family without half your mind on your portfolio.

You deserve the peace of mind that comes from knowing your money is handled — really handled — by a system that was designed to work even when you're not watching.

I hope this book has helped you think more clearly about what that looks like. And I hope that when you're ready to take the next step, whatever that step turns out to be, you'll approach it with confidence and clarity.

Thank you for reading.

— Mark Stroupe

Founder, EightPercent AI

EightPercent AI

Appendix

The Six Principles of Consistent Monthly Returns

Throughout this book, we've covered a lot of ground. Here's a one-page summary of the core principles — something you can come back to whenever you need a reminder of what matters most.

1. Capital Protection Comes First

Every strategy worth trusting puts the protection of your capital above the pursuit of returns. Defined risk, position size limits, drawdown rules — these aren't constraints on performance. They're the foundation of it.

2. Consistency Beats Excitement

A steady $8,000 a month, month after month, builds far more wealth over time than a strategy that delivers spectacular results some months and large losses in others. Volatility destroys wealth. Consistency builds it.

3. Rules Over Intuition

The best strategies run on clear, pre-defined rules that are followed regardless of what anyone's gut says in the moment. The value of a rule is that it protects you from your worst impulses, especially when emotions are running high.

4. Simplicity Is Sophistication

More indicators, more complexity, more moving parts do not make a strategy better. The best approaches are simple enough to understand at a high level and disciplined enough to follow consistently. If you can't explain the general approach in plain language, that's a warning sign.

5. Your Money Stays in Your Account

The right approach for a busy professional is always one where your capital stays under your name, with full transparency, accessible to you at any time. You're not handing your money over — you're deploying it into a strategy you can see and control.

6. Automate and Review, Don't Monitor

The goal is a setup that runs without your constant attention. Automate the strategy. Establish a regular review rhythm — monthly, thirty minutes. Between reviews, live your life.

To learn more or schedule your strategy session:

EightPercent AI

Schedule a Strategy Call